

1. Overview

- complete data mining process:
 - ◊ (planning)
 - ◊ (preparation)
 - ◊ preprocessing
 - ◊ learning
 - ◊ evaluation
 - ◊ (analysis)
- MIR (= music information retrieval)
- basic question: what is similarity?
- genre classification based on spectral similarity:
 - ◊ database of songs
 - ◊ MFCC (= mel frequency cepstrum coefficient)
 - ◊ GMM (= gaussian mixture model) per song
 - ◊ log-likelihood of songs given GMMs
 - ◊ distance matrix
 - ◊ nearest neighbour classification

2. The very basics of Statistical Pattern Recognition

- rote learning, lookup-table:
 - ◊ store every possible image with class label
 - ◊ problem: 256x256 pixel, 8bit/pixel $\rightarrow 10^{15}$ 8000 images
- generalization: classifiers must classify previously unseen image vectors
- preprocessing: combine large number of input variables to create features
- use thresholds for minimization of misclassification
- classification:
 - ◊ vector x represents image
 - ◊ variable y represents result
 - ◊ mathematical function with adjustable parameter w : $y_k = y_k(x, w)$
 - ◊ concept space: all possible distinct functions
 - ◊ language bias: restrict the set of all concepts
 - ◊ search bias: prefer some functions to others
- regression: continuous variables represent output
- classification and regression are particular cases of function approximation
- polynomial curve fitting (way of function approximation):
 - ◊ high bias:
 - little flexibility
 - low complexity
 - under-fitting
 - ◊ high variance:
 - too much flexibility
 - high complexity
 - over-fitting
- use different training and test sets

3. Bayes and all that!

- posterior probability $P(C_k|X^1)$
- likelihood (class conditional probability) $P(X^1|C_k)$
- prior probability $P(C_k)$
- joint probability $P(C_k, X^1)$
- $P(C_k, X^1) = P(X^1|C_k) P(C_k)$
- $P(C_k|X^1) = \frac{P(X^1|C_k) P(C_k)}{P(X^1)}$
- assign image to class with largest posterior (minimize misclassification)
- sometimes priors in training data are not representative (e.g. x-ray images + cancer)
- non-bayesian approaches are often just approximations (easier to estimate but not optimal)

4. Probability Density Estimation

- parametric methods:
 - ◊ assume specific form of density model (gaussian or normal distribution)
 - ◊ parameters optimized using best fit to data
 - ◊ estimate mean and covariance matrix by using maximum likelihood
 - ◊ minimizing negative log likelihood is more convenient
 - ◊ pro: easy to evaluate
 - ◊ con: tied to specific functional form
- non-parametric methods:
 - ◊ form of density determined entirely by data
 - ◊ kernel based methods: data point x , fixed volume V , estimate points falling in V
 - ◊ nearest neighbour methods: data point x , number of nearest neighbours K , estimate volume V which contains K nearest neighbours
 - ◊ pro: general form (driven by data)
 - ◊ con: number of variables grows with size of data
- semi-parametric methods:
 - ◊ “best of both worlds”
 - ◊ GMM iterative procedure:
 - make initial guess of GMM parameters
 - use old values $\rightarrow$ evaluate right sides $\rightarrow$ new values $\rightarrow$ smaller error on $E \rightarrow$
 - replace old by new values
 - E-step: evaluate posteriors for all components
 - M-step: evaluate means, variances and mixing posteriors
- preprocessing to calculate MFCCs:
 - ◊ convert to frames
 - ◊ discrete fourier transform
 - ◊ log of amplitude spectrum
 - ◊ mel-scaling and smoothing
 - ◊ discrete cosine transform
- modelling of songs using GMMs
 - ◊ for each song train a GMM using EM (input: vectors of MFCCs)
 - ◊ computation of similarity: for every combination of songs and models compute negative log-likelihood
 - ◊ K-nearest neighbour classification:
 - for new data x find K nearest neighbours

- assign majority class of K to x
 - draw hypersphere which contains K points around x
 - nearest neighbour rule directly estimates posteriors
 - one nearest neighbour error is maximal twice that of the bayes optimal classifier
- artist vs. genre classification: use artist filter to make sure that all songs of an artist are either in the training or test set

5. Advanced Classification

- novelty/outlier detection: identification of new/unknown data that a machine learning system is not aware of during training
- ratio reject: *reject X if $\rho(X) > E[\rho(X'')] + s * std(\rho(X''))$*
- doubt levels: if $\max(\text{posterior}) > \text{doubt_level} \Rightarrow \text{classify}$, else don't classify
- KNN-reject:
 - ◇ all K neighbours must agree
 - ◇ qualified majority of neighbours must agree

6. Statistical Evaluation of Machine Learning Experiments

- K-fold cross-validation:
 - ◇ divide into K equal sized parts
 - ◇ each part used as a test for classifier trained on all other parts
 - ◇ each part is used for testing exactly once
 - ◇ computationally costly
 - ◇ less random influences
 - ◇ stratified CV:
 - ensure the same class distribution in each fold as in the full training data
 - reduces variance across folds
 - ◇ leave-one-out CV:
 - each fold contains only a single example
 - unbiased error estimate
 - possibly high variance
 - computationally very costly

7. Unsupervised Learning I: Visualisation

- goals of unsupervised learning:
 - ◇ find useful representation of data
 - ◇ find clusters
 - ◇ dimensionality reduction
 - ◇ find hidden causes/sources of data
 - ◇ model data density
 - ◇ find patterns
- goal of visualisation: find low dimensional projection of high dimensional data that captures most correlation
- PCA (= principal component analysis):
 - ◇ transform vectors x linearly to uncorrelated (= orthogonal) vectors by finding a new basis of the input space
 - ◇ first new vector should explain most of the variance in data
 - ◇ second should explain remaining, etc.

- multi dimensional scaling:
 - ◊ lower dimensional projection in which points that are close to each other in the high-dimensional input space are also close in the low-dimensional output space
 - ◊ Sammon mapping (“chain link”)
- ICA (= independent component analysis):
 - ◊ finds hidden causes/sources in data
 - ◊ blind separation of sources
 - ◊ cocktail party problem
 - ◊ 2 variables are uncorrelated if their covariance is 0
 - ◊ if 2 variables are independent they are also uncorrelated, but not vice versa
 - ◊ not more than 1 gaussian source allowed
 - ◊ ICA used for artefact removal in EEGs

8. Unsupervised Learning II: Clustering

- divide observations into groups so that members of a group are alike
- mapping that assigns each input vector a reproduction (codebook) vector (out of a finite alphabet)
- K-means clustering:
 - ◊ start with initial codebook
 - ◊ partition data according to codebook
 - ◊ update codebook
- partitioning methods divide data into number of groups
- hierarchical methods produce trees of clusters:
 - ◊ agglomerative algorithms: start with each point as cluster and merge clusters
 - ◊ divisive algorithms: start with one big cluster and divide it iteratively
- HMM (= hidden markov model)
 - ◊ models locally stable probability densities (using GMMs) and the according transition probabilities between these states
 - ◊ markov chain property: probability of next state only depends on previous state
 - ◊ evaluation problem: given HMM M , observation sequence O , calculate probability that M has generated O
 - ◊ decoding problem: given HMM M , observation sequence O , calculate most likely sequence of hidden states that produced O
 - ◊ learning problem: given observation sequences O , general structure of HMM, determine parameters M of HMM that fit training data best
 - ◊ better describe spectral similarity of songs
 - ◊ advantage not visible when doing genre classification based on spectral similarity

9. Supervised Learning

- entropy: amount of impurity/randomness
- infogain: expected reduction of entropy
- constructing decision tree:
 - ◊ constructed in a top-down recursive divide-and-conquer manner
 - ◊ at the beginning all training samples are at the root
 - ◊ attributes are categorical (if continuous, discretise them)
 - ◊ test attributes are selected on the basis of a statistical measure
 - ◊ examples partitioned recursively based on selected attributes
- stopping construction of decision tree:

- ◇ all samples of a node belong to the same class
 - ◇ no remaining attributes
 - ◇ no samples left
- overfitting decision tree:
 - ◇ too many branches
 - ◇ may reflect anomalies due to noise or outliers
 - ◇ prepruning: stop tree construction early
 - ◇ postpruning: remove branches (use holdout data to choose best pruned tree)
- SVM (= support vector machine):
 - ◇ points on separating hyperplane are the support vectors
 - ◇ SVMs pick best separating hyperplane according to the maximum margin criterion
 - ◇ Lagrange formulation:
 - constraints replaced by lagrangian multipliers
 - training data will only occur as dot products
 - ◇ kernel trick: if boundary is not linear use function to map data into another space where it can be linearly separated
 - ◇ only few support vectors needed for training
 - ◇ no overfitting despite high dimensionality
- Multi-Layer Perceptron:
 - ◇ learns non-linear mapping from input to output
 - ◇ learns non-linear decision boundaries